```
- 1 -
  1
  2
       UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
  3
       SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
       Case No. 05-44481 (RDD); Adv. Proc. No. 07-02619 (RDD);
       Adv. Proc. No. 07-02242 (RDD); Adv. Proc. No. 07-02256 (RDD);
  5
       Adv. Proc. No. 07-02333 (RDD); Adv. Proc. No. 07-02580 (RDD);
  6
       Adv. Proc. No. 07-02661 (RDD); Adv. Proc. No. 07-02743 (RDD);
  7
       Adv. Proc. No. 07-02768 (RDD); Adv. Proc. No. 07-02769 (RDD);
  8
       Adv. Proc. No. 07-02790 (RDD); Adv. Proc. No. 07-02076 (RDD);
  9
       Adv. Proc. No. 07-02084 (RDD); Adv. Proc. No. 07-02096 (RDD);
 10
       Adv. Proc. No. 07-02125 (RDD); Adv. Proc. No. 07-02177 (RDD);
 11
 12
      Adv. Proc. No. 07-02188 (RDD); Adv. Proc. No. 07-02211 (RDD);
13
      Adv. Proc. No. 07-02212 (RDD); Adv. Proc. No. 07-02236 (RDD);
      Adv. Proc. No. 07-02250 (RDD); Adv. Proc. No. 07-02262 (RDD);
14
      Adv. Proc. No. 07-02270 (RDD); Adv. Proc. No. 07-02291 (RDD);
15
      Adv. Proc. No. 07-02328 (RDD); Adv. Proc. No. 07-02337 (RDD);
16
      Adv. Proc. No. 07-02348 (RDD); Adv. Proc. No. 07-02432 (RDD);
17
      Adv. Proc. No. 07-02436 (RDD); Adv. Proc. No. 07-02449 (RDD);
18
      Adv. Proc. No. 07-02479 (RDD); Adv. Proc. No. 07-02525 (RDD);
19
      Adv. Proc. No. 07-02534 (RDD); Adv. Proc. No. 07-02539 (RDD);
20
      Adv. Proc. No. 07-02551 (RDD); Adv. Proc. No. 07-02581 (RDD);
21
      Adv. Proc. No. 07-02597 (RDD); Adv. Proc. No. 07-02618 (RDD);
22
      Adv. Proc. No. 07-02623 (RDD); Adv. Proc. No. 07-02659 (RDD);
23
      Adv. Proc. No. 07-02672 (RDD); Adv. Proc. No. 07-02702 (RDD);
24
25
     Adv. Proc. No. 07-02723 (RDD); Adv. Proc. No. 07-02743 (RDD);
```

	- 2 -
1	Adv. Proc. No. 07-02744 (RDD); Adv. Proc. No. 07-02750 (RDD);
2	Adv. Proc. No. 07-02188 (RDD)
3	x
4	In the Matter of:
5	DPH HOLDINGS CORP., et al.,
6	Reorganized Debtors.
7	x
8	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,
9	Plaintiffs,
10	-against-
11	SETECH INC., et al.,
12	Defendants.
13	x
14	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,
15	Plaintiffs,
16	-against-
17	DUPONT COMPANY, et al.,
18	Defendants.
19	
20	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,
21	Plaintiffs,
22	-against-
23	ECO-BAT AMERICA LLC,
24	Defendant.
25	x

1 .

		- 3 -
1	x	1
2	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,	
3	Plaintiffs,	
4	-against-	
5	GLOBE MOTORS INC.,	
6	Defendant.	
7		]
8	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,	
9	Plaintiffs,	
10	-against-	
11	PHILIPS SEMICONDUCTOR, et al.,	
12	Defendants.	
13	x	
14	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,	
15	Plaintiffs,	
16	-against-	
17	SUMMIT POLYMERS INC.,	
18	Defendant.	
19	x	
20	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,	
21	Plaintiffs,	
22	-against-	
23	M & Q PLASTIC PRODUCTS, et al.,	
24	Defendants.	
25		
1		

· · · }

i i Çevili

	- 4 -
1	x
2	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,
3	Plaintiffs,
4	-against-
5	RSR CORPORATION, et al.,
6	Defendants.
7	x
8	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,
9	Plaintiffs,
10	-against-
11	RSR/ECOBAT,
12	Defendant.
13	x
14	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,
15	Plaintiffs,
16	-against-
17	TYCO et al.,
18	Defendants.
19	x
20	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,
21	Plaintiffs,
22	-against-
23	AHAUS TOOL & ENGINEERING INC.,
24	Defendant.
25	x

naiy.

	- 5 -
1	~ x
2	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,
3	Plaintiffs,
4	-against-
5	A 1 SPECIALIZED SVC & SUPP., INC.,
6	Defendant.
7	x
8	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,
9	Plaintiffs,
10	-against-
11	A-1 SPECIALIZED SERVICES,
12	Defendant.
13	x
14	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,
15	Plaintiffs,
16	-against-
17	ATS AUTOMATION TOOLING SYSTEMS INC., et al.,
18	Defendants.
19	
20	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,
21	Plaintiffs,
22	-against-
23	CORNING INC., et al.,
24	Defendants.
25	x

ςψ.

٦	- 6 -
1	X
2	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,
3	Plaintiffs,
4	-against-
5	CRITECH RESEARCH INC.,
6	Defendant.
7	x
8	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,
9	Plaintiffs,
10	-against-
11	DOSHI PRETTL INTERNATIONAL, et al.,
12	Defendants.
13	x
14	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,
15	Plaintiffs,
16	-against-
17	D & R TECHNOLOGY LLC, et al.,
18	Defendants.
19	x
20	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,
21	Plaintiffs,
22	-against-
23	DSSI, et al.,
24	Defendants.
25	

1. 11

		- 7 -
1		
2	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,	
3	Plaintiffs,	
4	-against-	
5	DANOBAT MACHINE TOOL CO. INC.,	
6	Defendant.	
7		
8	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,	
9	Plaintiffs,	
10	-against-	
11	EDS, et al.,	
12	Defendants.	
13	~ x	
14	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,	
15	Plaintiffs,	
16	-against-	
17	BP, et al.,	
18	Defendants.	
19	x	
20	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,	
21	Plaintiffs,	
22	-against-	
23	CARLISLE, et al.,	
24	Defendants.	
25	x	

a paid

١	
	- 8 -
1	
2	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,
3	Plaintiffs,
4	-against-
5	GKNS INTERMETALS,
6	Defendant.
7	x
8	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,
9	Plaintiffs,
10	-against-
11	EX-CELL-O MACHINE TOOLS INC.,
12	Defendant.
13	
14	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,
15	Plaintiffs,
16	-against-
17	JOHNSON CONTROLS, et al.,
18	Defendants.
19	X
20	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,
21	Plaintiffs,
22	-against-
23	NILES USA INC., et al.,
24	Defendants.
25	x

 $\mathcal{G}_{\omega} = \mathcal{I}$ 

		- 9 -
1		x
2	DELPHI CORPORATION,	et al.,
3		Plaintiffs,
4	-against-	
5	METHODE ELECTRONICS	INC., et al.,
6		Defendants.
7		x
8	DELPHI CORPORATION,	et al.,
9		Plaintiffs,
10	-against-	
11	MICROCHIP,	
12		Defendant.
13		x
14	DELPHI CORPORATION,	et al.,
15		Plaintiffs,
16	-against-	
17	HEWLETT PACKARD, et	al.,
18		Defendants.
19		x
20	DELPHI CORPORATION,	et al.,
21		Plaintiffs,
22	-against-	
23	OLIN CORP,	
24		Defendant.
25		x

		- 10 -
1	x	
2	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,	
3	Plaintiffs,	
4	-against-	
5	INTEC GROUP,	
6	Defendant.	
7	х	
8	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,	
9	Plaintiffs,	
10	-against-	
11	VALEO, et al.,	
12	Defendants.	
13	x	
14	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,	
15	Plaintiffs,	
16	-against-	
17	VANGUARD DISTRIBUTORS,	u n
18	Defendant.	
19	X	
20	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,	
21	Plaintiffs,	
22	-against-	
23	VICTORY PACKAGING, et al.,	
24	Defendants.	t transfer
25	x	

- 11 -
x
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
-against-
WAGNER-SMITH COMPANY,
Defendant.
x
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
-against-
WELLS FARGO BUSINESS, et al.,
Defendants.
x
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
-against-
SELECT TOOL & DIE CORP.,
Defendant.
x
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
-against-
SHUERT INDUSTRIES INC.,
Defendant.
x

	- 12 -
1	x
2	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,
3	Plaintiffs,
4	-against-
5	SUMITOMO, et al.,
6	Defendants.
7	x
8	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,
9	Plaintiffs,
10	-against-
11	TECH CENTRAL,
12	Defendant.
13	
14	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,
15	Plaintiffs,
16	-against-
17	PRUDENTIAL RELOCATION, et al.,
18	Defendants.
19	x
20	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,
21	Plaintiffs,
22	-against-
23	LDI INCORPORATED,
24	Defendant.
25	

Ì		- 13 -
1	x	
2	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,	
3	Plaintiffs,	
4	-against-	
5	M & Q PLASTIC PRODUCTS, et al.,	
6	Defendants.	
7	x	
8	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,	
9	Plaintiffs,	
10	-against-	
11	REPUBLIC ENGINEERED PRODUCTS, et al.,	
12	Defendants.	1
13		
14	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,	
15	Plaintiffs,	
16	-against-	
17	RIECK GROUP LLC,	
18	Defendant.	
19		į.
20	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,	
21	Plaintiffs,	
22	-against-	200
23	CRITECH RESEARCH INC.,	
24	Defendant.	
25	x	

		_
	- 14 -	
1	U.S. Bankruptcy Court	
2	300 Quarropas Street	
3	White Plains, New York	
4		
5	July 22, 2010	
6	10:20 AM	
7		
8		
9	BEFORE:	ŀ
10	HON, ROBERT D. DRAIN	
11	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		!
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

## DPH HOLDINGS CORP., et al.

- 277 -

re Hydrogen, LLC, 2010 WL 1609, 536 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y., April 20, 2010). In re McLaughlin, 415 B.R. 23 (Bankr. D.N.H. 2009)

In re Caremerica Inc., 409 B.R. 737 (Bankr. E.D.N.C. 2009).

I've stated during oral argument why I believe all three of these elements of the claim need to be pled with more clarity in the context. In particular, while it may seem at first glance that anyone receiving money has to receive it for some purpose and therefore it's reasonable to infer in the context that that purpose is to pay an antecedent debt, that is not always the case. Debtors may pay COD or in advance. And in addition, in identifying the debt, a complaint may therefore also enable a debtor to show that the creditor, or the transferee, rather, received more than it would otherwise in a Chapter 7 case which would, in the case of a contract that had been subsequently assumed, be a basis for dismissing the claim.

So I concluded that the complaints need to be dismissed, and I've given DPH Holdings forty-five days from today to file a motion for each complaint seeking leave to amend each complaint. That motion should attach the form of complaint -- or must attach the form of complaint that would be proposed to be filed as an amended complaint. And if such a motion is not filed for any particular complaint, that complaint will be dismissed upon the movant submitting to me a proposed order dismissing the complaint, CC'ing on the e-mail counsel for DPH and stating that in fact notwithstanding my

(4.5)